# CERTIFICATION OF ENROLLMENT

## HOUSE BILL 1394

Chapter 221, Laws of 2001

57th Legislature 2001 Regular Legislative Session

COUNTY ROAD FUNDS--SALMON RECOVERY

EFFECTIVE DATE: 7/22/01

Passed by the House April 18, 2001 CERTIFICATE Yeas 92 Nays 0 We, Timothy A. Martin and Cynthia Zehnder, Co-Chief Clerks of the House FRANK CHOPP of Representatives of the State of Speaker of the House of Representatives Washington, do hereby certify that the attached is HOUSE BILL 1394 as passed by the House of Representatives and the Senate on the dates hereon set CLYDE BALLARD forth. Speaker of the House of Representatives TIMOTHY A. MARTIN Passed by the Senate April 12, 2001 Chief Clerk Yeas 46 Nays 0 CYNTHIA ZEHNDER Chief Clerk BRAD OWEN President of the Senate Approved May 9, 2001 FILED May 9, 2001 - 8:43 a.m.

GARY LOCKE

Governor of the State of Washington

Secretary of State

State of Washington

## HOUSE BILL 1394

# AS AMENDED BY THE SENATE

Passed Legislature - 2001 Regular Session

### State of Washington 57th Legislature 2001 Regular Session

By Representatives Eickmeyer, Schoesler, Rockefeller, Sump, Jackley, Kessler, Cox and Dunshee

Read first time 01/25/2001. Referred to Committee on Transportation.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to clarifying the use of county road funds in 2
  - salmon recovery projects; amending RCW 36.79.140 and 36.82.070; and
- 3 creating a new section.
- BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON: 4
- 5 NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. The legislature recognizes that projects
- 6 that remove impediments to fish passage can greatly increase access to
- 7 spawning and rearing habitat for depressed, threatened, and endangered
- 8 fish stocks. Although counties are authorized to use county road funds
- 9 to replace culverts and other barriers to fish passage, and may conduct
- 10 streambed and stream bank restoration and stabilization work in
- conjunction with removal of these fish barriers, counties are reluctant 11
- 12 to spend county road funds beyond the county right-of-way because it is
- 13 unclear whether the use of road funds for this purpose is authorized.
- 14 The purpose of this act is to clarify that streambed and stream bank
- 15 restoration and stabilization activities conducted in conjunction with
- removal of existing barriers to fish passage within county rights-of-16
- 17 way constitute a county road purpose even if this work extends beyond
- The legislature intends this act to be 18 the county right-of-way.
- 19 permissive legislation. Nothing in this act is intended to create or

- 1 impose a legal duty upon counties for salmon recovery work beyond the
- 2 county right-of-way.
- 3 **Sec. 2.** RCW 36.79.140 and 1997 c 81 s 6 are each amended to read 4 as follows:

At the time the board reviews the six-year program of each county 5 each even-numbered year, it shall consider and shall approve for 6 7 inclusion in its recommended budget, as required by RCW 36.79.130, the portion of the rural arterial construction program scheduled to be 8 9 performed during the biennial period beginning the following July 1st. 10 Subject to the appropriations actually approved by the legislature, the board shall as soon as feasible approve rural arterial trust account 11 12 funds to be spent during the ensuing biennium for preliminary proposals in priority sequence as established pursuant to RCW 36.79.090. Only 13 14 those counties that during the preceding twelve months have spent all 15 revenues collected for road purposes only for such purposes, including 16 removal of barriers to fish passage and accompanying streambed and stream bank repair as specified in RCW 36.82.070, and including traffic 17 18 law enforcement, as are allowed to the state by Article II, section 40 19 of the state Constitution are eligible to receive funds from the rural arterial trust account: PROVIDED HOWEVER, That counties with a 20 population of less than eight thousand are exempt from this eligibility 21 restriction: AND PROVIDED FURTHER, That counties expending revenues 22 23 collected for road purposes only on other governmental services after 24 authorization from the voters of that county under RCW 84.55.050 are 25 also exempt from this eligibility restriction. The board shall authorize rural arterial trust account funds for the construction 26 project portion of a project previously authorized for a preliminary 27 proposal in the sequence in which the preliminary proposal has been 28 29 completed and the construction project is to be placed under contract. At such time the board may reserve rural arterial trust account funds 30 for expenditure in future years as may be necessary for completion of 31 32 preliminary proposals and construction projects to be commenced in the ensuing biennium. 33

The board may, within the constraints of available rural arterial trust funds, consider additional projects for authorization upon a clear and conclusive showing by the submitting county that the proposed project is of an emergent nature and that its need was unable to be anticipated at the time the six-year program of the county was

34

3536

37

38

- 1 developed. The proposed projects shall be evaluated on the basis of 2 the priority rating factors specified in RCW 36.79.080.
- 3 **Sec. 3.** RCW 36.82.070 and 1997 c 189 s 1 are each amended to read 4 as follows:

Any money paid to any county road fund may be used for the 5 construction, alteration, repair, improvement, or maintenance of county 6 7 roads and bridges thereon and for wharves necessary for ferriage of 8 motor vehicle traffic, and for ferries, and for the acquiring, operating, and maintaining of machinery, equipment, quarries, or pits 9 for the extraction of materials, and for the cost of establishing 10 county roads, acquiring rights\_of\_way therefor, and expenses for the 11 operation of the county engineering office, and for any of the 12 13 following programs when directly related to county road purposes: (1)Insurance; (2) self-insurance programs; and (3) risk management 14 15 programs; and for any other proper county road purpose. expenditure may be made either independently or in conjunction with the 16 state or any city, town, or tax district within the county. 17 18 road purposes also include the removal of barriers to fish passage related to county roads, and include but are not limited to the 19 following activities associated with the removal of these barriers: 20 Engineering and technical services; stream bank stabilization; 21 streambed restoration; the placement of weirs, rock, or woody debris; 22 23 planting; and channel modification. County road funds may be used beyond the county right-of-way for activities clearly associated with 24 25 removal of fish passage barriers that are the responsibility of the county. Activities related to the removal of barriers to fish passage 26 27 performed beyond the county right-of-way must not exceed twenty-five percent of the total cost of activities related to fish barrier removal 28 29 on any one project, and the total annual cost of activities related to the removal of barriers to fish passage performed beyond the county 30 rights-of-way must not exceed one-half of one percent of a county's 31 annual road construction budget. The use of county road funds beyond 32 33 the county right-of-way for activities associated with the removal of fish barriers is permissive, and wholly within the discretion of the 34 county legislative authority. The use of county road funds beyond the 35 36 county right-of-way for such activities does not create or impose a 37 legal duty upon a county for salmon recovery work beyond the county 38 right-of-way.

p. 3 HB 1394.SL

Passed the House April 18, 2001.
Passed the Senate April 12, 2001.
Approved by the Governor May 9, 2001.
Filed in Office of Secretary of State May 9, 2001.